UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| 10/600,797 | 10/600,797 06/20/2003 Eric D. Brill | | MS303968.1 / MSFTP444US | 9695 |
| | 7590 10/23/200 CY & CALVIN, LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| 127 Public Squa | are | HICKS, MICHAEL J | | |
| 57th Floor, Key CLEVELAND, | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2165 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/23/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

docket1@thepatentattorneys.com hholmes@thepatentattorneys.com lpasterchek@thepatentattorneys.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|----------------|--|
| 10/600,797 | BRILL, ERIC D. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | Michael J. Hicks | 2165 | |
|--|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, www. with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second c | sideration and/or search (see NOT v); er form for appeal by materially rec | E below); lucing or simplifying th | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | | • |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-40,42 and 43. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | sufficient reasons why the affidavi | t or other evidence is | necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fails | s to provide a |
| 10. \square The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>. | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Christian P. Chace/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2165 | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As per applicants arguments, Examiner notes, firstly, that the text excerpts used in the Final Rejection dated 7/22/2008 clearly indicate that while absolute relevance judements are not made in the system of Joachims, 'partial relevence judements' are. Examiner notes that discussion as to absolute and partial relevence judgements are included in the disclosure of Joachims and further asserts that these partial relevance judgements are applicable to the instant claims. Secondly, Examiner maintains the position that the query results deemed as non-relevant (e.g. non-selected) are recorded and persisted in the query log. For these reasons the rejection set forth the in the Office Action dated 9/22/2008 is maintained.

Continuation of 13. Other: Examiner notes that the amendments made to the claims do not affect the scope of the claims and place the claims in better form for appeal, and therefore will be entered.